

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,406	02/05/2004	Ren-Yang Horng	HORN3174/EM	3818
23364	7590 03/13/2006		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			BARRY, CHESTER T	
FOURTH FL				PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDR	JA, VA 22314		1724	

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/771,406	HORNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T		
	Chester T. Barry	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however, rill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			,		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 11, 13 - 15, 17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 11, 13 - 15, 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideratio				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original sheet and the original sh	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a on is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been receive s have been receive ity documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa .	l Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/771,406

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1 - 11, 13 – 15, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1's first-appearing recitation of "the biological wasted sludge" lacks antecedent basis. Moreover, it is unclear whether "biological waste sludge" is the same limitation as "biological wasted sludge."

It's unclear whether the hydrolysis reactor agitator recited in claim 10 is the same as the hydrolysis reactor agitator recited in claim 1.

It's unclear whether the neutralization reactor agitator recited in claim 14 is the same as the neutralization reactor agitator recited in claim 1.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/771,406

Art Unit: 1724

Page 3

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

CHESTERT. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

571-272-1152